This is no doubt the form of *M. ursulus* which inhabits the forest-region to the west of the Tocantins River, while the Pará area to the east of that river is the locality of the true *ursulus*.

Hoffmannsegg was said to have received his original specimens from near the mouth of the Tocantins, a statement which might give rise to confusion. But it appears that the word Tocantins is equally applied to the broad estuary which runs north-eastwards past Pará and the narrower river which runs northwards, nearly at right angles to the other, separating the district in which Cametá stands from the true Pará region. The latter is the home of ursulus, the former that of umbratus.

## XXXII.—The Generic Classification of the Taphozous Group. By OLDFIELD THOMAS.

(Published by permission of the Trustees of the British Museum.)

When, in 1915, I wrote my "Notes on Taphozous and Saccolaimus", and recognized the latter as a distinct genus from the former, as had Hollister previously under another name, I did not sufficiently weigh the characters which separate Taphozous nudiventris and its allies from the typical members of Taphozous.

On reconsidering the subject, I now think that these remarkable half-naked bats should be separated as a distinct genus from the ordinary hairy species, and would suggest that the whole group might be synoptically arranged as

follows :-

A. Bullæ imperfect, the inner side of each incomplete. A radio-metacarpal pouch.

a. Frontal concave; upper profile of braincase rising from it in a strong curve. Occipital "helmet" scarcely developed. Body haired as usual...... Genotype: T. perforatus, Geoff.

 Frontal almost flat, the cranial profile scarcely rising above it behind. A strongly developed occipital helmet.

I. Taphozous, Gcoff.

<sup>\*</sup> J. Bombay Soc. xxiv. p. 57 (1915).

Body partly naked behind, both above and below ..... II. Liponycteris, gen. nov. Genotype: L. nudiventris (T. nudiventris, Cr.).

B. Bullæ perfect internally. No radiometacarpal pouch. Body hairy ..... III. Succolaimus, Less. Genotype: S. saccolaimus (T. saccolaimus, Temm.).

Besides nudiventris, Liponycteris would contain only kachhensis and its two subspecies—magnus, Wettstein (1914, syn. babylonicus, Thos., 1915), and nudaster, Thos.

XXXIII.—Preliminary Note on a new Genus of Scatopsid Flies from New Zealand. By F. W. Edwards.

(Published by permission of the Trustees of the British Museum.)

Among a large collection of Tipulidæ recently sent me for study by Mr. G. V. Hudson, of Wellington, New Zealand, were several specimens of a very remarkable fly, which must be placed in a new genus of the family Scatopsidæ. I hope to give a detailed account of this fly in a future paper on the Mycetophilidæ, Bibionidæ, and Scatopsidæ of New Zealand, but, meanwhile, at Mr. Hudson's request, I offer preliminary diagnoses of the new genus and of three new species. The great interest of the new genus lies in the fact that its only relative (not a very close one) is Corynoscelis—a very rare fly, of which only a single species is known from Arctic Europe.

## CANTHYLOSCELIS, gen. nov.

Resembles Corynoscelis, Bohemann, in wing-venation and in the strongly clubbed hind femora and curved hind tibite, but differs as follows:—Antennæ fully as long as the head and thorax together (rather longer in  $\delta$  than in  $\mathfrak P$ ), the joints well separated, with short pedicels, longer than broad, and somewhat flattened. Only two ocelli present, placed close together some little distance behind the eyes. Club of hind femora larger, occupying two-thirds of the segment. Claws much enlarged at the base, the enlargement bearing a row of fine teeth ( $\delta$   $\mathfrak P$ ). Empodia present, very large, broadening